

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. LEA 32 509 Н BERNETH 09/01/00 09/601,571 **EXAMINER** MM91/1019 HINDI.O JEFFREY M GREENMAN PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** BAYER CORPORATION 400 MORGAN LANE 2873 WEST HAVEN CT 06516

DATE MAILED:

10/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)
		09/601,571		BERNETH ET AL.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
		Omar 7 Hindi		2873
 	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the co	ver sheet with th	ne correspondence address
ariad for	r Reniv			
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, h I. a reply within the statutory eriod will apply and will exp	nowever, may a reply by minimum of thirty (30) pire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
1)□ 2a)□	This action is FINA ! 2b)	This action is no	n-final.	
3)□				
	ion of Claims			
4 \\⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from cons	ideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are rejected.			
7)[🛛	Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election rec	juirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers			
	The experimention is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	-	asked to but the Everniner
10)🛛	The drawing/s) filed on 01 September 200	00 is/are: a)⊟ acc	epted or b)⊠ obj	pecied to by the Examiner.
	t	n to the drawing(s) 🕻	oe neid ili abeyair	ICE. OCC 07 07 11 11 - (1)
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ap	proved b) L dis	Approved by the Endithine.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Oπ	ou acutii.	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ше шхапппег.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Sanatan materia	ler SELLO C	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	ioreign priority uni	дөг ээ U.Ф,U. §	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	umanda la) received	
[1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doci	uments have been	n received in An	oplication No.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Sta				
	application from the Internation for	or a list of the certif	fied copies not r	received.
440	* See the attached detailed Office action is] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	age provisional an	polication has be	een received.
Attachm				
1) 🛛 No	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	-948) r No(s) <u>5 and 8</u> .	4) Interview S 5) Notice of I 6) Other: De	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) etailed Action .
1	1000	Office Action Summa		Part of Paper No. 2

Page 2 Application/Control Number: 09/601,571 Berneth et al.

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show 1. every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the (a) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

(fig.1) and (fig.2), are clearly missing element (a) in both provided figures. The examiner suggests inserting element (a) in both, (fig.1) and (fig.2).

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent 2. applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
 - Cross-References to Related Applications. (b)
 - Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development. (c)
 - Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96). (d)
 - Background of the Invention. (e)
 - Field of the Invention. 1.
 - Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 2. 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
 - Brief Summary of the Invention. (f)
 - Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s). (g)
 - Detailed Description of the Invention. (h)
 - Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet). (i)
 - Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet). (j)
 - Drawings. (k)

Application/Control Number: 09/601,571 Berneth et al. Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

(I) Sequence listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 3 and 4. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 3 and 4 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9, line 5, the phrase "spacing a" is not clear or understood. What does this limitation corresponds to?

In claim 13, line 3, the limitation drawn to density is not provide the density in measuring units and consequently renders this limitation indefinite.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2873

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 2, 5-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bennett et al (5446577).

Bennett teaches as in claim 1, electrochromic medium (30) between two electrode sheets (20) as it shown in (fig.1); element (30) (col.5 lines 20-23), wherein at least one of the electrodes sheet is transparent, (10) (col.5 lines15-16) and it characterized as electrically conductive material, the transparent electro sheets are pattern in holes or slits, (strips or grids) (fig.1) element (22) (col.5 lines 17-18), between the sheets, and a metallic conductive material been deposited, (fig.1) element (30) (col.5 lines 5-6) meeting the limitations of claim 1.

Bennett teaches as in claim 2, electrochromic display element characterized of electrochromic layer of solid conductive layer (col.2 lines 29-32).

Bennett teaches as in claim 5, which both electrode sheets made of material having metallic conductivity (fig.1) (col.5 lines 16-17).

Bennett teaches as in claim 6, the pattern of strips of two electrodes form of electrodes (fig.1) (col.5 lines 16-19). Angle with one another; see (fig2) elements (150) and (120).

Bennett teaches as in claim 7, a pattern of strips having a material of electric conductivity of electrodes (fig.1) (col.5 lines 16-19).

Bennett teaches as in claim 8, the pattern strips on the electrodes in a short distance, as it shows in (fig2) elements (120) and (150)

Art Unit: 2873

Bennett teaches, as in claim 12, a pattern of strip of one of the electrodes running parallel to each other, and has a web (mesh) of 3.18mm apart from each other.

Bennett teaches, as in claim 13. The claim do not recite what "0.3" refer to.

Therefore the claim as far as proper and understood is met by the reference in (fig.2) showing grid density of "0.3".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett as applied to claim 1, above, and further in view of Byker (5751467).

Bennett discloses in the invention as described above however Bennett does not teach the metal grid on the electrode has been deposited on the transparent which is electrically conductive material, as in claim 10. Byker however teaches in details the electrochromic display is characterized of metal grid on the electrodes where its deposited on a transparent which an electrically conducting material can be attached to form electrode layer (col .8, lines 9-25). Thus It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the teaching of Byker and modify the system of Bennett for the purpose of applying a sufficient voltage between the plates, (electrodes) in order to form a distinct coloration in the region of the grid, in other

Application/Control Number: 09/601,571 Berneth et al. Page 6

Art Unit: 2873

meaning, by applying the electrical potential to the electrodes, the display devise will be capable of changing reflectance, and color (col. 5, lines 20-25).

With respect to the limitation of claim 11, the limitation of using electro conductive transparent is merely an alternative material equivalence, which is known in the art as cited in (col. 8, lines 25-32) of Byker reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Z. Hindi whose telephone number is (703) 305-6845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,571

Art Unit: 2873

Berneth et al.

Page 7

October 18, 2001

Omar Z. Hindi Examiner Art Unit 2873

PRIMARY EXAMINER